







## The influence of fertilisation on the water-salt regime in the conditions of the Mugan-Salyan massif, Azerbaijan

Mustafa Mustafayev<sup>1)</sup>  , Zulfriya Tukenova<sup>2)</sup> , Mereke Alimzhanova<sup>3)</sup> ,  
Kazhybek Ashimuly<sup>4)</sup> , Farid Mustafayev<sup>1)</sup> 

<sup>1)</sup> Institute of Soil Science and Agrochemistry of Azerbaijan National Academy of Science, M. Rahim St, 5, AZ10073, Baku, Azerbaijan Republic

<sup>2)</sup> Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Faculty of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Department of UNESCO in Sustainable Development, Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan

<sup>3)</sup> Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Faculty of Thermal Physics and Technical Physics, Department of Physics and Technology, Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan

<sup>4)</sup> Scientific Production Center of Microbiology and Virology, Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan

RECEIVED 17.04.2021

ACCEPTED 26.09.2022

AVAILABLE ONLINE 31.12.2022

**Abstract:** The article presents research data on the amount of salts in the irrigated soils of the Mugan-Salyan massif, their composition, water-salt regime, and their forecast. It was found that the soils on the territory of the massif were saline to varying degrees. In general, the area of non-saline soils in the massif is 125,650 ha, mildly – 272,070 ha, moderately – 210,560 ha, highly – 125,850 ha, very highly – 109,450 ha and saline soils – 27,520 ha. The absorbed bases in the soils of the massif were studied, and it was determined that they change depending on the amount of salts as follows: in mildly saline soils, Ca – 57.82–68.31%, Mg – 25.26–36.28%, Na – 5.49–6.43%; in moderately saline soils – 56.77–65.76%, 27.03–35.58%, 7.12–7.94%, respectively; in highly saline areas – 54.05–64.75%, 24.94–43.67% and 9.19–14.42%. As you can see, the soils are mildly and moderately saline.

The soils in the surveyed areas are saline to varying degrees (i.e., the average value of salts in the 0–100 cm layer of the soil varies between 0.25 and 1.00%). The biological product used in these soils contains a wide range of macro and microelements, humic acids, fulvic acids, amino acids, vitamins and enzymes that do not contain BioEcoGum mineral fertilisers. This biological product was used for the first time and one of the main goals was to study the improvement of water-physical properties of soils after its use. Therefore, the water-salt regime of the soils of the study area was studied on three experimental sites selected for the area, the number of irrigations for different plants, and their norms were determined taking into account the depth of groundwater in the soils and shown in tabular form. They are widely used in farms and these regions, taking into account the proposed irrigation norms and their quantity.

**Keywords:** convective diffusion, forecast, ground water, irrigation norm, reclamation, salinity soils

### INTRODUCTION

Recent reforms in all areas of agriculture led to a change in existing production relations in the agrarian sector because increasing soil fertility created conditions for increasing productivity and abundance of products. Currently, despite the prevalence of fertile soil farming, saline soils are also widespread –

solonetsous, waterlogged, technogenically contaminated and eroded soil. The main factor limiting development in Azerbaijan irrigated agriculture, is the salinisation and solonetsousness of soils. This negative phenomenon is widespread in all areas of the republic, including in the Mugan-Salyan massif.

The main unfavourable phenomenon, delaying the development of agricultural crops is a widespread distribution of saline

soils and a close occurrence of highly mineralised groundwater to the surface of the earth. From the beginning of the use of the lands of the Mughan-Salyan massif, there was a need to carry out large-scale irrigation-reclamation works here. According to the data of many years of research, it was revealed that for the normal and productive cultivation of crops, it is necessary to establish strict norms and terms of irrigation, to eliminate salinity and drainage in some areas, to maintain the level and mineralisation of groundwater, and also to operate the irrigation equipment correctly. In this regard, a comprehensive study of such indicators as the general volatility of the water-salt balance, humidity and salt composition of soils, level and mineralisation of groundwater in necessary. To achieve this goal, it is necessary to study the balance of precipitation, irrigation, salinity of surface, drainage and groundwater, and the chemical composition of the studied soils [VOLOBUYEV 1963].

The Mughan-Salyan massif is one of the most irrigated areas in the Republic of Azerbaijan, has high evaporation levels, and is very close to groundwater. Most of these soils are saline to varying degrees, highly saline areas are observed mainly in the lowlands of the relief. The environment is characterised by a hot and dry subtropical climate, low humidity, mild winters, hot and dry summers [MAMEDOV *et al.* 2010].

According to the average annual data, the total amount of evaporation is 5–6 times the amount of atmospheric deposition [FIGUROVSKIY 1936]. Therefore, during the growing season of plants, there is an acute shortage of moisture and watering is required. In the Mughan-Salyan massif, the parent rocks have different origins (deluvial-proluvial, alluvial and ancient Caspian deposits), and their particle size distribution is predominantly clay-clayey depending on the nature of the salt formation process. Therefore, it is more expedient to study the salts in the soil, especially their water-soluble compounds, the reasons influencing the accumulation of these salts, the regularities of the distribution of salts in the soil and the methods of their removal from the soil.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The studies were carried out in 2000–2020 on the experimental sites of the plains of the Salyan, Sabirabad, Saatli, Imishli, Neftchala and Hajigabul districts of the Mughan-Salyan massif. Experimental plots are typical for all irrigated lands of the massif. Study of the water-physical properties of soils in the Salyan, Imishli and Saatli regions in separate areas for sowing grain and cotton, as well as the water-salt regime in the Salyan region with an area of 15 ha. In the Saatli and Imishli regions, 10 ha of irrigated meadow-grey and grey-meadow soils were studied on sown areas for cotton. During research in the field of three practices, the depth of the soils, the amount of water in the soil, the depth of water and its minerality and other parameters have been widely studied and used in a separate watermore.

The study of the water-salt regime and its regulation was carried out in accordance with the methods widely used in practice for a long time. The amount of salts in the soil, the mineralisation of groundwater, water in the collector-drainage systems and irrigation canals [ARINUSHKINA 1970], the volume of soil and water permeability [KACHINISKIY 1970], the amount of evaporation was determined following the water balance method

and the reporting method proposed by AVERYANOV [1965]. The pH was determined with a potentiometer, and soil moisture in the aeration zone was determined by gravity.

Changes in groundwater levels were detected by the size of hydrogeological observation wells, and water consumption of irrigation and drainage systems was studied using chipoletti-shaped aquifers.

Reclamation of soils in the studied territories by the method of VOLOBUYEV [1965] was widely used in the study of the state of salinity.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

One of the main directions of soil reclamation is the management of the water-salt regime in order to increase soil fertility and ensure the normal development of agricultural crops. From this point of view, it is important to study the water-salt regime under irrigation conditions and give its forecast [AZIZOV 2006; MUSTAFAYEV 2014; 2016; TUKENOVA *et al.* 2020]. Long-term studies show that one of the key issues for the normal development of agricultural crops is to determine the norms and terms of irrigation, regulate the salinity of a certain area, remove drainage water from the field, and maintain the level of groundwater and minerals at the optimal level and correct operation of the irrigation equipment. From this point of view, the water-salt regime of the studied territories depends on the general evaporation, moisture and salinity of the soil, the minerality of underground waters, the level of their location, etc., directly depends on the study of indicators. Changes in the water balance in the aeration zone under the influence of irrigation cause a radical change in the salt balance in a given area. Therefore, the joint study of the movement of water and salt in the soil is one of the main issues. In all three experimental plots, irrigation was carried out along surface furrows.

The amount of water supplied to the test sites was measured using sprinklers.

During the growing season, the irrigation rate ranges from 1250 to 1650 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>, and the total irrigation rate ranges from 5000 to 6350 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>. Determined by Equation (1), [AVERYANOV 1965] of leaks from irrigation canals, depending on the irrigation rate, their indicators on the experimental plots in Salyan, Saatli and Imishli regions are: 1259.25–1303.05 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>; 1116.90–1281.15 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>; 1314.00–1390.65 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup>.

$$F_k = (1/\eta - 1)Q_{\text{Watering}} \quad (1)$$

where:  $\eta = 0.82$ ,  $Q$  = water flow in the canal.

The average price of mineral water for irrigation in Salyan region is 0.63–0.78 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. Taking into account that in Saatli region the price is 0.58–0.67 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>, and in Imishli region it is 0.64–0.67 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>, the amount of salts entering the experimental areas were determined: 3.75–4.48 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup> and 3.10–3.92 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup>; 3.91–4.13 and 0.82–0.98 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup>; 0.68–0.86 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup>, 0.88–0.90 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup>.

One of the main issues in the study of the water-salt regime is the level of water pressure on irrigated lands and changes in their minerality. Because of long-term land reclamation and engineering-hydrogeological studies, the depths of confined waters in the Mughan-Salyan massif, the nature of their

distribution, and their effect on groundwater have been determined. The study of this indicator makes it possible to determine their application and irrigation regime by conducting feasibility studies for land reclamation (drainage design). One of the main issues during the research is to study the amount of salts

entering the area with irrigation water. These issues were studied for different plants and the results are given in Table 1 below.

In general, studies show that the piezometric level of water under pressure exceeds the depth of groundwater by 1.5–3.0 m. The mineral content of pressure waters in the Mugan-Salyan

**Table 1.** Irrigation regime and the amount of salts entering the experimental areas with irrigation water

| Years                                      | Irrigation ordinal numeral | Watering time   | Irrigation norm ( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ) | General irrigation norm ( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ) | The amount of salts entering the irrigation water ( $\text{Mg} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ) |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------|---|---|--|
| <b>Salyan district (experimental area)</b> |                            |                 |   |   |  |
| 2000                                       | sowing                     | 11.I–16.I       | 1650  | 5950  | 3.75   |
|  | 1*                         | 15.VI–21.VI     | 1450  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 18.VI–22.VII    | 1550  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 15.VIII–20.VIII | 1300  |   |  |
| 2001                                       | sowing                     | 08.I–14.I       | 1750  | 5850  | 4.03   |
|  | 1*                         | 12.VI–17.VI     | 1350  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 15.VII–20.VII   | 1400  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 18.VII–22.VIII  | 1350  |   |  |
| 2002                                       | sowing                     | 11.II–16.II     | 1800  | 5750  | 4.48   |
|  | 1*                         | 18.VI–23.VI     | 1300  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 24.VII–28.VII   | 1400  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 17.VIII–21.VIII | 1250  |   |  |
| 2003                                       | sowing                     | 19.II–24.II     | 1750  | 5950  | 4.28   |
|  | 1*                         | 13.VI–17.VI     | 1350  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 23.VII–28.VII   | 1500  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 21.VII–25.VIII  | 1350  |   |  |
| <b>Saath district (experimental area)</b>  |                            |                 |   |   |  |
| 2000                                       | sowing                     | 15.I–21.I       | 1750  | 5850  | 3.92   |
|  | 1*                         | 10.VI–15.VI     | 1300  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 14.VII–19.VII   | 1500  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 16.VIII–20.VIII | 1300  |   |  |
| 2001                                       | sowing                     | 17.I–22.I       | 1950  | 5100  | 3.11   |
|  | 1*                         | 20.VI–25.VI     | 1600  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 13.VIII–17.VIII | 1550  |   |  |
| 2002                                       | sowing                     | 11.I–15.I       | 1700  | 5600  | 3.25   |
|  | 1*                         | 17.VI–23.VI     | 1350  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 21.VII–26.VII   | 1250  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 15.VIII–1.VIII  | 1300  |   |  |
| 2003                                       | sowing                     | 20.II–25.II     | 1850  | 5000  | 3.10   |
|  | 1*                         | 25.VI–30.VI     | 1650  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 17.VIII–23.VIII | 1500  |   |  |
| <b>İmişli district (experimental area)</b> |                            |                 |   |   |  |
| 2008                                       | sowing                     | 14.I–22.I       | 1750  | 6350  | 4.13   |
|  | 1*                         | 12.VI–17.VI     | 1550  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 14.VII–19.VII   | 1650  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 15.VIII–19.VIII | 1400  |   |  |
| 2009                                       | sowing                     | 18.II–23.II     | 1750  | 6000  | 4.02   |
|  | 1*                         | 16.VI–21.VI     | 1500  |   |  |
|  | 2*                         | 21.VII–26.VII   | 1400  |   |  |
|  | 3*                         | 19.VIII–23.VIII | 1350  |   |  |

Explanations: \* = vegetable watering.

Source: own study.

massif was different. In the eastern part of the massif, it is 5–80 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>, whereas in the western part it fluctuates between 1–60 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>.

The composition of the pressurised water in the massif is mainly sodium sulfate, sodium chloride, and in some places, bicarbonate-sodium chloride [BABAYEV *et al.* 2011; MUSTAFAYEV 2012a; 2012b; MUSTAFAYEV *et al.* 2011; 2016; PANKOVA 2016].

The average amount of water under pressure entering the balance layer in the study areas was 1260 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Salyan district, 1300 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Saatli district and 1380 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Imishli district. According to the average annual mineral content of water under pressure, it has been determined for each area: 8.6 g·dm<sup>-3</sup> in Salyan, 5.6 g·dm<sup>-3</sup> in Saatli, and 6.5 g·dm<sup>-3</sup> in Imishli. Taking into account the average values of the content of mineral substances, it was determined that the amount of salts entering the experimental plots with water under pressure is 10.84 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Salyan region, 7.28 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup> in Saatli, and 8.97 Mg·ha<sup>-1</sup> in Imishli, respectively [KIREICHEVA, YASHIN 2020; MUSTAFAYEV 2015; 2017; 2020; MUSTAFAYEV *et al.* 2018; YASHIN 2020].

Particular attention was paid to the study of drainage flow in the study areas and the change in the cost of the drainage module by months and years, their average annual values were used to calculate the water-salt balance. Long-term studies have shown that drainage flow increases after irrigation and vegetation reaches its maximum value. After irrigation, the stabilisation process is practically in progress. In all three experimental plots, the minimum rate of drainage runoff is observed between September and December. In recent years, the rate of drainage runoff was 2345–2545 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Salyan region, 1942–2167 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Saatli region, and 2470–2526 m<sup>3</sup>·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Imishli region.

Mineralisation of drainage water during the growing season is minimal, and in the non-growing season – maximal. Thus, the salinity of the drainage waters of the experimental plots is 5.11–5.97 g·dm<sup>-3</sup> in the growing season. In the non-growing periods the salinity is 6.72–7.42 g·dm<sup>-3</sup> and 5.32–5.99 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>; 5.54–6.76 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>; 7.43–7.98 g·dm<sup>-3</sup> and ranges from 5.75 to 7.35 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>. Average annual levels of mineral substances range from 5.89 to 6.00 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>, 7.43–7.76 g·dm<sup>-3</sup> and 6.10–6.38 g·dm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively.

Based on the results obtained, it was established that the amount of salts removed from the experimental areas by drainage waters during the study period amounted to 13.42–15.21 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Salyan region, 14.51–16.82 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Saatli region, and 13.67–15.74 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Imishli region.

The modern development of agriculture requires high-quality processing of issues such as planning, forecasting, and operational management of production processes. The study and forecast of the water-salt regime is an integral part of these issues and is important for obtaining high and stable crop yields. Studies show that in the Mughan-Salyan massif, as in other regions, the distribution of salts in soils along the profile has a different character. Therefore, when studying the process of salt leaching in saline soils, the degree and type of salinity, the thickness of the washed soil layer, the time of leaching, soil salinisation, etc. as well as the salt reserve in the soil after leaching, and the total porosity along the profile of the soil, according to these parameters, the following equations were solved.

The forecast of the water-salt regime is of scientific and practical importance for the correct direction of reclamation

measures taken to prevent salinisation of the soils of the Mughan-Salyan massif. The mathematical model is used to predict the water-salt regime. For this, one of the main issues is the determination of the physicochemical parameters included in the mathematical model, including the determination of the coefficient of hydrodynamic dispersion ( $\lambda$ ) of the soil. Depending on the granulometric composition of soils, the value of  $\lambda$  differs if the amount of physical clay  $\leq 10\%$  – 0.01–0.05, at 10–20%, 20–40%, 40–60% and 60–80%: 0.05–0.10, 0.10–0.20, 0.20–0.50, and more than 0.5, respectively. One of the main parameters in solving these problems is the calculation of the convective diffusion coefficient. The correct determination of the convective diffusion coefficient is one of the main factors in determining the washing speed. Its value depends on the granulometric composition of soils, the rate of filtration, salinity and its type, and characterises the movement of salts dissolved in the leaching medium:

$$D^2 = \frac{V_0^2 t}{4m^2 a^2} \quad (2)$$

where:  $D^2$  = convective diffusion coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup>),  $V_0$  = filtration rate (m·d<sup>-1</sup>),  $a$  = coefficient depending on the ratio of the permissible ( $n_0$ ) and initial ( $n$ ) salinity ( $n_0/n$ ),  $m$  = coefficient of porosity,  $t$  = duration of flushing per day.

As can be seen from the formulas, the convective diffusion coefficient is directly proportional to the filtration rate ( $V_0 = n:t$ ) at constant values of porosity ( $m$ ) and flushing time ( $t$ ) and is inversely proportional to the salinity index soils ( $a$ ). Value  $a$ , depending on the ratio  $n = n_0/n$ , is selected from the following list: at  $\bar{n} = 0.00 - a \rightarrow \infty$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.001 - a = 2.19$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.005 - a = 182$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.01 - a = 1.65$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.02 - a = 1.45$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.04 - a = 1.24$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.06 - a = 1.10$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.08 - a = 0.99$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.10 - a = 0.91$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.12 - a = 0.83$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.14 - a = 0.76$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.16 - a = 0.70$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.18 - a = 0.65$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.20 - a = 0.60$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.25 - a = 0.48$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.30 - a = 0.37$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.35 - a = 0.27$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.40 - a = 0.18$ , at  $\bar{n} = 0.45 - a = 0.09$ , and at  $\bar{n} = 0.50 - a = 0.00$ .

The values of the convective diffusion coefficient can also be determined experimentally in the field. According to the results of studies carried out by employees of the Azerbaijan National Academy of Science and the Institute of Soil Science and AgroChemistry in the Kur-Araz lowland, the directions of leaching saline soils against the background of drainage values are 0.027–0.045 m<sup>2</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> on the Shirvan plain, 0.035–0.055 m<sup>2</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> on the Karabakh plain, 0.070–0.150 m<sup>2</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> in Northern Mughan, 0.020–0.035 m<sup>2</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> in South Mughan, 0.040–0.070 m<sup>2</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> in the Salyan plain, 0.030–0.060 m<sup>2</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> in the Mil plain. Currently, these indicators are widely used in the study of convective diffusion.

As can be seen, high values of the convective diffusion coefficient were obtained in the areas of Northern Mughan with a high dehydration capacity, and small values – in Salyan and Southern Mughan with a low dehydration capacity. In the study of the proposed processes, the following convective diffusion equation was used:

$$\theta(x, t) = 1 - 0.5 \left[ \operatorname{erfc} \phi_2 - (1 + Pe^* + Pe^* \tau^*) l^{Pe^*} \operatorname{erfc} \phi_1 + 2 \sqrt{\frac{Pe^* \tau^*}{\pi}} l^{-\phi_2^2} \right] \quad (3)$$

where:  $\phi_{1,2} = \frac{\sqrt{Pe^*(1 \pm \tau^*)}}{2\sqrt{\tau^*}} = \frac{x \pm \theta t / m_0}{2\sqrt{D t}}$ ;  $\bar{D} = \frac{D}{m_0}$ ;  $Pe^* = \frac{\theta}{\bar{D}}$ ;  $\tau^* = \frac{\theta t}{m_0 x}$ ;  $\theta = \frac{c - c_{II}}{c_0 - c_{II}}$

and

$$\theta(\xi, \eta) = 0.5 \left\{ (\xi + 1) \exp((4\eta) \operatorname{erfc} \left[ (\xi + 1) \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\xi}} \right] - (\xi - 1) \operatorname{erfc} \left[ \xi - 1 \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\xi}} \right] \right\} \quad (4)$$

where:  $\theta = \frac{S_{CP} - C_{II}}{C_0 - C_{II}}$ ;  $\xi = \frac{l}{R}$ ;  $l = \frac{\tau}{m}$ ;  $\eta = \frac{R}{4\lambda}$ ;  $\tau = \int_0^T \vartheta(t) dt$

where:  $\theta$  = salt content in the considered layer,  $Pe$  = by satellite (number),  $\lambda$  = coefficient of hydrodynamic dispersion,  $d$  = the diameter of the drain,  $t$  = duration of flushing per day,  $R$  = wash layer,  $\tau$  = wash norm obtained from the functions  $\theta(x, t)$  and  $\theta(\xi, \eta)$ ,  $\eta = 0.82$ ,  $l$  = length of drain,  $C(c)$  = coefficient of variation,  $\varphi(\varphi_1; \varphi_2)$  = probability integral,  $\vartheta; \vartheta_x$  = speed of water movement in the soil; the speed of movement on the interdrain,  $m$  = coefficient of porosity,  $x$  = the depth of the washed soil layer,  $m_o$  = porosity coefficient,  $D$  = convective diffusion coefficient,  $S_{CP}$  = average price of salts in 200 cm layer,  $C_{II}(c_{II})$  = water minerality at the beginning of the study,  $C_0(c_o)$  = initial salt content in the soil layer,  $c$  = water minerality, \* = vegetable watering.

Also during the calculation of  $\theta = \frac{C - C_{II}}{C_0 - C_{II}}$ ,  $\theta = (x, t)$  and  $\theta = (\tau, Pe)$ , washing norm  $\theta = \frac{S_{CP} - C_{II}}{C_0 - C_{II}}$ , using the values obtained from the functions  $\theta(x, t)$  and  $\theta(\xi, \eta)$ , the  $\lambda$  - hydrodynamic dispersion parameter was determined. In this case, the indicators of the results given in Tables 2 and 3 were used in accordance with the above functions.

The  $\lambda$  (hydrodynamic dispersion parameter) was determined using the values of  $T$  - wash time,  $R$  - wash layer, and  $\tau$  - wash norm obtained from the functions  $\theta(\xi, t)$  and  $\theta(\xi, \eta)$ . In this case, the indicators of some generalised characteristic salt sections were used. According to one formula (Eq. 3),  $\lambda = 2.681$ , and according to the other formula (Eq. 4),  $\lambda = 3.220$ , and from the table above, the parameter  $\lambda$  was found with a smaller error according to the solution (3.2). Thus,  $P(1) = 5.37\% > P(2) = 5.25\%$ . Therefore, the value found by Equation (4) is more reliable, and therefore the value  $\lambda = 3.220$  is expected (Tab. 4).

In general, the forecast of the water-salt regime of arable lands provides the basis for the proper use of agricultural crops in these areas in the future. The observation of balance in these areas, the study of water and salt balance, as well as the forecast of their regimes has practical value in reclamation studies.

**Table 2.**  $\theta = \frac{c - c_{II}}{c_o - c_{II}}$  indicators

| $\theta = (x, t)$ | $Pe$ | Wash norm ( $\tau$ ) |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|-------------------|------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                   |      | 3.36                 | 3.37  | 3.38  | 3.39  | 3.40  | 3.41  | 3.42  | 3.43  | 3.44  |
| 1                 | 0.26 | 0.389                | 0.388 | 0.387 | 0.387 | 0.386 | 0.385 | 0.384 | 0.384 | 0.383 |
| 2                 | 0.21 | 0.383                | 0.382 | 0.381 | 0.380 | 0.380 | 0.379 | 0.378 | 0.377 | 0.377 |
| 3                 | 0.28 | 0.376                | 0.376 | 0.375 | 0.374 | 0.375 | 0.373 | 0.372 | 0.371 | 0.370 |
| 4                 | 0.29 | 0.371                | 0.370 | 0.369 | 0.368 | 0.367 | 0.367 | 0.366 | 0.365 | 0.364 |
| 5                 | 0.30 | 0.365                | 0.364 | 0.363 | 0.363 | 0.362 | 0.361 | 0.360 | 0.360 | 0.359 |
| 6                 | 0.31 | 0.359                | 0.359 | 0.358 | 0.357 | 0.356 | 0.355 | 0.355 | 0.354 | 0.353 |
| 7                 | 0.32 | 0.354                | 0.353 | 0.352 | 0.352 | 0.351 | 0.350 | 0.349 | 0.349 | 0.348 |
| 8                 | 0.33 | 0.349                | 0.348 | 0.347 | 0.346 | 0.346 | 0.345 | 0.344 | 0.343 | 0.343 |
| 9                 | 0.34 | 0.344                | 0.343 | 0.342 | 0.341 | 0.341 | 0.340 | 0.339 | 0.338 | 0.338 |
| 10                | 0.35 | 0.339                | 0.338 | 0.337 | 0.337 | 0.336 | 0.335 | 0.334 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
| 11                | 0.36 | 0.334                | 0.333 | 0.333 | 0.332 | 0.331 | 0.330 | 0.330 | 0.329 | 0.328 |
| 12                | 0.37 | 0.330                | 0.329 | 0.328 | 0.327 | 0.326 | 0.326 | 0.325 | 0.324 | 0.323 |
| 13                | 0.38 | 0.325                | 0.324 | 0.324 | 0.323 | 0.322 | 0.321 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.319 |
| 14                | 0.39 | 0.321                | 0.320 | 0.319 | 0.318 | 0.318 | 0.317 | 0.316 | 0.315 | 0.315 |
| 15                | 0.40 | 0.317                | 0.316 | 0.315 | 0.314 | 0.313 | 0.313 | 0.312 | 0.311 | 0.310 |
| 16                | 0.41 | 0.312                | 0.312 | 0.311 | 0.310 | 0.309 | 0.309 | 0.308 | 0.307 | 0.306 |
| 17                | 0.42 | 0.308                | 0.308 | 0.307 | 0.306 | 0.305 | 0.305 | 0.304 | 0.303 | 0.302 |
| 18                | 0.43 | 0.305                | 0.304 | 0.303 | 0.302 | 0.301 | 0.301 | 0.300 | 0.299 | 0.298 |
| 19                | 0.44 | 0.301                | 0.300 | 0.299 | 0.298 | 0.298 | 0.297 | 0.296 | 0.295 | 0.294 |
| 20                | 0.45 | 0.297                | 0.296 | 0.295 | 0.295 | 0.294 | 0.293 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.291 |

Explanations:  $\theta = (x, t)$ ,  $Pe$  as in Equations (3) and (4).

Source: own study.

**Table 3.**  $\theta = \frac{S_{cp}-C_{ii}}{C_0-C_{ii}}$  indicators

| $\theta = (\xi, \eta)$ | $\lambda$ | Washing time (T) |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
|------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                        |           | 3.38             | 3.39  | 3.40  | 3.41  | 3.42  | 3.43  | 3.44  | 3.45  | 3.46  |
| 1                      | 0.054     | 0.381            | 0.380 | 0.379 | 0.379 | 0.378 | 0.377 | 0.377 | 0.376 | 0.376 |
| 2                      | 0.056     | 0.374            | 0.373 | 0.373 | 0.372 | 0.371 | 0.371 | 0.370 | 0.369 | 0.369 |
| 3                      | 0.058     | 0.367            | 0.367 | 0.366 | 0.366 | 0.365 | 0.364 | 0.364 | 0.363 | 0.362 |
| 4                      | 0.060     | 0.361            | 0.361 | 0.360 | 0.359 | 0.359 | 0.353 | 0.357 | 0.357 | 0.356 |
| 5                      | 0.062     | 0.355            | 0.354 | 0.354 | 0.353 | 0.352 | 0.352 | 0.351 | 0.350 | 0.350 |
| 6                      | 0.064     | 0.349            | 0.349 | 0.348 | 0.347 | 0.347 | 0.346 | 0.345 | 0.345 | 0.344 |
| 7                      | 0.066     | 0.343            | 0.343 | 0.342 | 0.341 | 0.341 | 0.340 | 0.339 | 0.339 | 0.338 |
| 8                      | 0.068     | 0.338            | 0.337 | 0.337 | 0.336 | 0.335 | 0.335 | 0.334 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
| 9                      | 0.070     | 0.333            | 0.332 | 0.331 | 0.330 | 0.330 | 0.329 | 0.329 | 0.328 | 0.327 |
| 10                     | 0.072     | 0.327            | 0.327 | 0.326 | 0.325 | 0.325 | 0.324 | 0.323 | 0.323 | 0.322 |
| 11                     | 0.074     | 0.322            | 0.322 | 0.321 | 0.320 | 0.320 | 0.319 | 0.318 | 0.318 | 0.317 |
| 12                     | 0.076     | 0.317            | 0.317 | 0.316 | 0.315 | 0.315 | 0.314 | 0.313 | 0.313 | 0.312 |
| 13                     | 0.078     | 0.312            | 0.312 | 0.311 | 0.310 | 0.310 | 0.309 | 0.308 | 0.308 | 0.307 |
| 14                     | 0.080     | 0.308            | 0.307 | 0.306 | 0.306 | 0.305 | 0.304 | 0.304 | 0.303 | 0.302 |
| 15                     | 0.082     | 0.303            | 0.303 | 0.302 | 0.301 | 0.301 | 0.300 | 0.299 | 0.299 | 0.298 |
| 16                     | 0.084     | 0.299            | 0.298 | 0.297 | 0.297 | 0.296 | 0.295 | 0.295 | 0.294 | 0.293 |
| 17                     | 0.086     | 0.294            | 0.294 | 0.293 | 0.292 | 0.292 | 0.291 | 0.290 | 0.290 | 0.289 |
| 18                     | 0.088     | 0.290            | 0.290 | 0.289 | 0.288 | 0.288 | 0.287 | 0.286 | 0.286 | 0.285 |
| 19                     | 0.090     | 0.286            | 0.285 | 0.285 | 0.284 | 0.283 | 0.283 | 0.282 | 0.281 | 0.281 |
| 20                     | 0.092     | 0.282            | 0.281 | 0.281 | 0.280 | 0.279 | 0.279 | 0.278 | 0.277 | 0.277 |

Explanations:  $\theta (\xi, \eta)$ ,  $\lambda$  as in Equations (3) and (4).  
Source: own study.

**Table 4.** Value of hydrodynamic dispersion parameter ( $\lambda$ ) in Mughan-Salyan massif

| Sections                                 | Salinity in the layer of 0–100 cm (%) |       |       |       |        |       | $\theta$ |       | $\lambda$ according to the Equation |       |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-------------------------------------|-------|
|  | $S_o$                                 | $S_d$ |       |       |        | $S_m$ |          |       |                                     |       |
|  | 0–100                                 | 0–25  | 25–50 | 50–75 | 75–100 | 0–100 | 75–100   | 0–100 | (3)                                 | (4)   |
| 1  | 2.50                                  | 0.805 | 0.826 | 0.832 | 0.849  | 0.828 | 0.324    | 0.315 | 2.703                               | 3.289 |
| 2  | 2.00                                  | 0.768 | 0.784 | 0.797 | 0.805  | 0.789 | 0.333    | 0.326 | 2.778                               | 3.472 |
| 3  | 1.50                                  | 0.661 | 0.672 | 0.689 | 0.691  | 0.678 | 0.335    | 0.319 | 2.857                               | 3.165 |
| 4  | 1.00                                  | 0.621 | 0.630 | 0.648 | 0.654  | 0.638 | 0.342    | 0.333 | 2.941                               | 3.571 |
| 5  | 0.50                                  | 0.425 | 0.440 | 0.453 | 0.463  | 0.445 | 0.281    | 0.268 | 2.128                               | 2.604 |
| $\bar{C} = \sum_{i=1}^n C_i/n$           |                                       | 0.656 | 0.670 | 0.684 | 0.692  | 0.676 | 0.323    | 0.312 | 2.681                               | 3.220 |
| $\sigma = \sqrt{\sum (C - C_i)^2/n - 1}$ |                                       | 0.149 | 0.151 | 0.150 | 0.151  | 0.150 | 0.049    | 0.051 | 0.322                               | 0.378 |
| $V = 100\sigma/\bar{C}, \%$              |                                       | 22.71 | 22.54 | 21.93 | 21.82  | 22.19 | 15.17    | 16.35 | 12.01                               | 11.74 |
| $P = V/\sqrt{n}, \%$                     |                                       | 10.16 | 10.08 | 9.81  | 9.76   | 9.92  | 6.78     | 7.31  | 5.37                                | 5.25  |

Explanations:  $S_o$  = initial amount of salt,  $S_d$  = final amount of salts,  $S_m$  = average grade for 0–100 cm layer,  $\theta$  = salt content in the considered layer,  $C$  = salt concentration in solution,  $C_i$  = amount of salt per layer,  $n$  = amount of samples,  $i$  = indicators for each layer,  $\sigma$  = mean square deviation,  $P$  = accuracy indicator,  $V$  = speed of water movement.  
Source: own study.

Therefore, during the investigation of these issues, special attention was paid to the results of the previously conducted research in this direction. Based on the balance observations, the speed of water movement in the soil at the survey sites was determined. In this case, the following formula was used:

$$V = \frac{O_P + O_C - E}{t \cdot m \cdot 10000} \quad (5)$$

where:  $V$  = speed of water movement in the soil,  $m$  = porosity (%),  $O_P$  = irrigation water ( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ),  $O_C$  = precipitation ( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ),  $E$  = total evaporation ( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ),  $t$  = time (d). An example of calculating the water-salt regime of the study area was carried out using the values of the specified parameters ( $m$ ,  $E$ ,  $V$ ,  $t$ ). Using the above Equation (5), the movement of water in the experimental areas was studied and the results are given in Table 5.

Calculations show that there is a weak desalination process in the experimental plots. The water velocity in the selected experimental site in the Salyan region is  $0.0812$ – $0.1067 \text{ m} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$  and  $0.0015$ – $0.0018 \text{ m} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ . In the Saatli practice region, these

indicators are  $0.0738$ – $0.1117 \text{ m} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ , and  $0.0016$ – $0.0018 \text{ m} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$  respectively.

In the conditions of the field selected in the Imishli region, the water velocity is  $0.0771$ – $0.1013 \text{ m} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$  and  $0.0016$ – $0.0017 \text{ m} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ . These indicators make it possible to determine the upper and lower limits of the speed of movement of water in the soil of experimental plots (Tab. 5).

Calculations based on the solution of the convective diffusion Equation (3) showed that in order to reduce the salt regime to the optimal level ( $0.25$ – $0.30\%$  by dry residue) in these investigated areas, it is necessary to adjust the irrigation regime. Thus, to regulate the salt regime on the irrigated areas of the Mughan-Salyan massif, it is proposed to irrigate arat at the rate of  $1000$ – $1300 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ , and during the growing season – at the rate of  $5000$ – $6000 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$  [MUSTAFAFAYEV *et al.* 2020].

Recent studies show that the correct implementation of agrotechnical measures when using land in the Mughan-Salyan massif, taking into account the soil and climatic conditions of the area, the continuous planting in the area for several years, its water, requires the determination of irrigation regimes.

**Table 5.** Calculation of water-salt regime in experimental fields in Mughan-Salyan massif

| Term                    | $S_0$ (%)<br>200 | $t$ (d) | Irrigation norm<br>( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ) | General evaporation<br>( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ) | Water velocity<br>( $\text{m} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ) |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| <b>Salyan district</b>  |                  |         |  |  |  |
| 2020                    | 0.810            | 11      | 5950   | 650  | 0.1067   |
| Break                   | 0.835            | 232     | –  | 4750   | –0.0018  |
| 2020                    | 0.795            | 12      | 5850   | 600  | 0.0961   |
| Break                   | 0.806            | 236     | –  | 4710   | –0.0016  |
| 2020                    | 0.780            | 14      | 5750   | 550  | 0.0812   |
| Break                   | 0.798            | 265     | –  | 4635   | –0.0015  |
| 2020                    | 0.752            | –       | –  | –  | –  |
| <b>Saatli district</b>  |                  |         |  |  |  |
| 2020                    | 0.798            | 10      | 5850   | 625  | 0.1117   |
| Break                   | 0.812            | 245     | –  | 4740   | –0.0016  |
| 2020                    | 0.780            | 13      | 5100   | 670  | 0.0738   |
| Break                   | 0.792            | 250     | –  | 4890   | –0.0018  |
| 2020                    | 0.765            | 15      | 5750   | 560  | 0.0746   |
| Break                   | 0.779            | 260     | –  | 4850   | –0.0017  |
| 2020                    | 0.747            | –       | –  | –  | –  |
| <b>Imishli district</b> |                  |         |  |  |  |
| 2008                    | 0.800            | 12      | 6350   | 630  | 0.0991   |
| Break                   | 0.835            | 235     | –  | 4800   | –0.0016  |
| 2009                    | 0.783            | 11      | 6000   | 690  | 0.1013   |
| Break                   | 0.815            | 240     | –  | 4880   | –0.0017  |
| 2010                    | 0.771            | 15      | 6100   | 595  | 0.0771   |

Explanations:  $S_0$  = initial amount of salt.

Source: own study.

Therefore, based on the results of many years of comprehensive research the need for water irrigation for plants on the irrigated lands of the Mughan-Salyan massif, the granulometric composition of soils, the change in the amount and type of salts in the soil profile, the level of groundwater and mineralisation, its composition, and water – the irrigation regimes of the main crops intensively used in these territories taking into account changes in their physical properties were studied.

One of the main conditions for soil salinisation in the Mughan-Salyan massif is low mineral content and the depth of groundwater occurrence below the permissible level (1.75–2.00 m).

In addition, for the effective use of water resources in the Mughan-Salyan massif, based on the data from meteorological stations, research changes were made to the existing irrigation regimes in the irrigated territories. The groundwater depth is taken into account and given in the form of recommendations in the following tables (Tabs. 6, 7).

**Table 6.** Groundwater depths greater than 3.0 m above the Earth's surface

| The name of the plant | Type of irrigation | Number of irrigation | Duration of irrigation | Arat, moisturising and vegetation irrigation norm ( $m^3 \cdot ha^{-1}$ ) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 10 II–5 IV             | 1550  |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 15 VI–27 VIII          | 3300  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 20 IX–15 XI            | 1000  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 20 IV–30 V             | 2100  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 4                    | 30 VI–28 IX            | 4850  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 6                    | 5 IV–25 IX             | 6800  |
| Vegetables            | sowing             | 1                    | 5 IV–25 IV             | 750   |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 10 V–10 VIII           | 4250  |
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 10 II–5 IV             | 1500  |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 14 VI–28 VIII          | 3400  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 25 IX–20 XI            | 1000  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 22 IV–31 V             | 2050  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 4                    | 29 VI–28 IX            | 4900  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 6                    | 1 IV–20 IX             | 6950  |
| Vegetables            | sowing             | 1                    | 10 IV–30 IV            | 700   |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 15 V–16 VIII           | 4200  |
| <b>İmişli region</b>  |                    |                      |                        |   |
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 10 II–5 IV             | 1500  |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 14 VI–28 VIII          | 3350  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 25 IX–20 XI            | 1000  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 22 IV–31 V             | 2000  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 4                    | 29 VI–28 IX            | 4800  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 6                    | 1 IV–20 IX             | 6750  |
| Vegetables            | sowing             | 1                    | 10 IV–30 IV            | 700   |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 16 V–15 VIII           | 4100  |
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 10 II–5 IV             | 1550  |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 15 VI–27 VIII          | 3400  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 20 IX–15 XI            | 1050  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 20 IV–31 V             | 2100  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 4                    | 30 VI–27 IX            | 4850  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 6                    | 5 IV–25 IX             | 6850  |
| Vegetables            | sowing             | 1                    | 5 IV–25 IV             | 750   |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 10 V–10 VIII           | 4200  |

Source: own study.



**Table 7.** For groundwater depths less than 3.0 m above the Earth's surface

| The name of the plant | Type of irrigation | Number of irrigation | Duration of irrigation | Arat, moisturizing and vegetation irrigation norm ( $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ ) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 15 II–10 IV            | 1550  |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 10 VI–20 VIII          | 4250  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 25 IX–20 XI            | 1000  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 20 IV–6 VI             | 2100  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 5                    | 5 VII–20 IX            | 5550  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 8                    | 1 IV–25 IX             | 8800  |
| Vegetables            | sowing             | 1                    | 5 IV–25 IV             | 700   |
|                       | vegetation         | 5                    | 15 V–20 VIII           | 5250  |
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 10 II–5 IV             | 1500  |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 15 VI–26 VIII          | 4300  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 25 IX–20 XI            | 1000  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 20 IV–6 VI             | 2150  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 5                    | 1 VII–15 IX            | 5500  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 8                    | 1 IV–28 IX             | 8850  |
| Vegetables            | sowing             | 1                    | 10 IV–30 IV            | 700   |
|                       | vegetation         | 5                    | 15 V–20 VIII           | 5200  |
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 10 II–5 IV             | 1500  |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 15 VI–26 VIII          | 4200  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 25 IX–20 XI            | 1000  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 20 IV–6 VI             | 2100  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 5                    | 1 VII–15 IX            | 5400  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 8                    | 1 IV–28 IX             | 8700  |
| Vegetables            | sowing             | 1                    | 10 IV–30 IV            | 700   |
|                       | vegetation         | 5                    | 16 V–15 VIII           | 4100  |
| Cotton                | sowing             | 1                    | 10 IV–30 IV            | 700   |
|                       | vegetation         | 4                    | 15 V–20 VIII           | 5100  |
| Autumn cereals        | sowing             | 1                    | 22 IX–25 XI            | 1100  |
|                       | vegetation         | 2                    | 20 IV–5 VI             | 2200  |
| Covered clover        | vegetation         | 5                    | 5 VII–20 IX            | 5500  |
| Biennial clover       | vegetation         | 8                    | 1 IV–30 IX             | 8750  |

Source: own study.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. The research results show that the humus content (0–100 cm layer) in the sown areas of agricultural crops on slightly degraded soils is 1.35–0.21%, nitrogen – 0.18–0.02%, carbonate – 6.53–4.08%, and the pH ranges from 8.60 to 8.51. In areas with severe degradation – 0.42–0.27%, 0.05–0.02%, 8.46–9.89%, 9.02–9.20%, respectively. It was determined that the depth of groundwater accumulation and mineralisation in non-saline soils areas was 2.55–3.00 m and 2.8–1.2  $\text{g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ . In the areas with the strongest mineralisation – it was 1.64–1.72 m and 9.2–9.9  $\text{g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ . However, in saline areas, these values varied between 1.20 and 1.50 m, and 20.86 and 29.91  $\text{g} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ .
2. Based on experimental calculations and solving the conjunctive diffusion equation, the hydrodynamic dispersion coefficient,  $\lambda = 3.22$ , which is of particular importance in the

- mathematical modelling of the water-salt regime, and the water velocities “up” and “down” ( $V$ ) were determined. It was determined that the irrigation regime should be adjusted to maintain the optimal salt level ( $S = 0.25\text{--}0.30\%$ ) in the research areas, so that the irrigation norm for sowing must be between 1000 and 1300  $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ , but during the growing season, it is appropriate to carry out 5–6 irrigations with a total irrigation rate of 5000–6000  $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{ha}^{-1}$ .
3. The use of the natural preparation of biological origin will enable the population of the country to consume ecologically fresh agricultural products. The conducted research will make it possible to recommend the creation of methods of using a biological product in the cultivation of agricultural crops, ensuring maximum economic efficiency, and considering environmental requirements.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was conducted under the project AR08957180 "Influence of biological products on the ecological state of fertility of dark chestnut soils and on the yield and quality of vegetable crops in the south-east of Kazakhstan" funded by the Ministry of Education and Science of Kazakhstan from 2020 to 2021.

## REFERENCES

- ARINUSHKINA E. 1970. Rukovodstvo po khimicheskomu analizu pochv [Guidance on soil chemical analysis]. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Moscow. Izdatel'stvo Moskovskogo universiteta pp. 488.
- AVERYANOV S. 1965. Nekotoryye voprosy preduprezhdeniya zasoleniya oroshayemykh zemel' i mery bor'by s nim v Yevropeyskoy chasti SSSR [Some problems of the irrigative land's salinity warning and fight measures with it in the European part of USSR]. In: Orosheyemoye zemledeliye Yevropeyskoy chasti SSSR. Moscow. Kolos p. 1–58.
- AZIZOV G. 2006. Vodno-solevoy balans melioriruyemykh pochvogrun-tov Kura-Arazskoy nizmennosti i nauchnyy analiz yego rezul'tatov [Water-salt balance in meliorated soil-subsoil in the Kur-Araz lowland and scientific analysis of its consequences]. Baku. Elm pp. 258.
- BABAYEV M., JABRAILOVA G., MUSTAFAYEV F. 2011. Izucheniye opresneniya orashayemykh pochv na Muganskoy stepi pod ugrozoy vegetatsionnykh polivov [Study of desalination of irrigated soils in the Mughan steppe under the influence of vegetation irrigation]. Ryazanskaya gosudarstvennaya sel'skokhozyaystvennaya akademiya imeni professora P.A. Kostycheva. Kolleksiya nauchnykh rabot. 9 p. 110–117.
- FIGUROVSKIY I. 1936. Klimaticheskoye rayonirovaniye Azerbaydzhana. Material dlya klimaticheskogo rayonirovaniya Azerbaydzhanskoy SSR [Climate zoning of Azerbaijan. Material for climate zoning of Azerbaijan SSR]. No. 4. Baku. Azerb. tsentr. ispol. kom. Komis. po rayonirovaniyu p. 3–17.
- KIREICHEVA L., YASHIN V. 2020. Formirovaniye evolyutsioniruyushchego meliorativnogo rezhima na oroshayemykh zemlyakh [Formation of evolving land-reclamation regime on irrigated lands]. International Research Journal. No. 6(96) p. 140–144. DOI 10.23670/IRJ.2020.96.6.025.
- MAMEDOV G., KHALILOV M., MAMEDOVA S. 2010. Agroekologiya [Agroecology]. Baku. Elm pp. 551.
- MUSTAFAYEV F. 2016. Izmeneniye nekotorykh pokazateley pochv na Shirvanskoy stepi (Kyurdamirskiy r-n) [Changes in some indicators of soils in the Shirvan steppe (Kurdamir district)] [online]. Materialy Mezhdunarodnogo molodezhnogo nauchnogo foruma "LOMONOSOV-2016". Sektsiya "Pochvovedeniye". [Abstract]. Moscow. Moskovskiy gosudarstvennyy universitet. Moscow p. 162–163. [Access 12.02.2020]. Available at: [https://lomonosov-msu.ru/archive/Lomonosov\\_2016/data/section\\_22\\_8474\\_doc\\_name.htm](https://lomonosov-msu.ru/archive/Lomonosov_2016/data/section_22_8474_doc_name.htm)
- MUSTAFAYEV F. 2017. Vliyaniye mikrorel'yefa na zasoleniye pochv na klyuchevom uchastke Shirvanskoy stepi [Influence of microrelief on soil salinization in a key area of the Shirvan steppe] [online]. Materialy Mezhdunarodnogo molodezhnogo nauchnogo foruma "LOMONOSOV-2017". Sektsiya "Pochvovedeniye". [Abstract]. Moskovskiy gosudarstvennyy universitet. Moscow p. 178–179. [Access 13.01.2021]. Available at: [https://lomonosov-msu.ru/archive/Lomonosov\\_2017/data/section\\_24\\_10728.htm](https://lomonosov-msu.ru/archive/Lomonosov_2017/data/section_24_10728.htm)
- MUSTAFAYEV M. 2012a. Prichiny snizheniya effektivnosti sel'skokhozyaystvennogo proizvodstva na zemlyakh azerbaydzhana [Reason for the decrease in the efficiency of agricultural production on the lands of Azerbaijan]. Voprosy Agroekologii. No. 3 p. 43–45.
- MUSTAFAYEV M. 2012b. Water and physical characteristics of irrigated soils in the Massif of Mugan-Salyan. Journal of Water and Land Development. No. 17 p. 61–67.
- MUSTAFAYEV M. 2014. Meliorativnoye sostoyaniye oroshayemykh zasolennykh pochv Mugano-Sal'yanskogo massiva Kura-Araksinskoy nizmennosti [Meliorative state of irrigative saline soils in Mugan-Salyan massive of the Kur-Araz lowland]. Vestnik Belorusskoy gosudarstvennoy sel'skokhozyaystvennoy akademii. No. 1 p. 127–131.
- MUSTAFAYEV M. 2015. Criteria for the evaluation of reclamation status of soils in the Mugan-Salyan massif. Journal of Water and Land Development. No. 24 p. 21–26.
- MUSTAFAYEV M. 2020. Change of the salt's quantity and type in the irrigated soils of the Mughan Plain and their impact on plants productivity. International Journal of Food Science and Agriculture. No. 4(2) p. 101–108.
- MUSTAFAYEV M., JABRAILOVA G., MUSTAFAYEV F. 2011. Otsenka glubiny opresneniya pochvogrun-tov na melioriruyemykh zemlyakh Kura-Araksinskoy nizmennosti. V: Sovremennyye energo- i resursoberegayushchiye, ekologicheski ustoychivyye tekhnologii i sistemy sel'skokhozyaystvennogo proizvodstva [Evaluation of the soil-grounds desalinization depth in the meliorated soils from the Kur-Araz lowland. In: Modern energy and resource economical, ecologically stable technology and systems of the agricultural production issue]. Riazan p. 141–148.
- MUSTAFAYEV M., MAZHAYSKY Y.U., VINOGRADOV D. 2018. Diagnostic parameters of irrigated meadow-serozemic and alluvial meadow soils of the Mughan-Salyan massif of Azerbaijan. Russian Agricultural Sciences. Vol. 44. No. 6 p. 551–558.
- PANKOVA E. 2016. Zasoleniye oroshayemykh pochv Sredneaziatskogo regiona: staryye i novyye problemy [Salinization of irrigated soils in the middle-Asian region: Old and new issues]. Aridnyye ekosistemy. Vol. 22. No. 4(69) p. 21–29.
- TUKENOVA Z., AKYLBKOVA T., ALIMZHANOVA M., ASHIMULY K., SAPAROV A. 2020. Environmental assessment of the impact of technogenic factors on the soil mesofauna of the South-East of Kazakhstan and development bioindicative and indicative factors. ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences. Vol. 15. No. 22 p. 2706–2712.
- VOLOBUYEV V. 1963. Ekologiya pochv [Soil ecology]. Baku. Izdatel'stvo Akademii nauk Azerbaydzhanskoy SSR pp. 549.
- VOLOBUYEV V. 1965. Geneticheskiye formy zasoleniya pochv Azerbaydzhanskoy SSR [Genetic forms of soils salinity in the Azerbaijan SSR]. Baku. Elm pp. 47.
- YASHIN V. 2020. Vodnyy rezhim oroshayemykh solontsovykh kompleksnykh pochv i osobennosti yego regulirovaniya [The water regime of irrigated soils of the solonetz complex and features of its regulation]. Sbornik izbrannykh statey Vserossiyskoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentsii "Natsional'naya bezopasnost' Rossii: Aktual'nyye aspekty" Sankt-Peterburg. Chastnoye nauchno-obrazovatel'noye uchrezhdeniye dopolnitel'nogo professional'nogo obrazovaniya Gumanitarnyy natsional'nyy issledovatel'skiy institut "NATSRAZVITIYE" p. 63–69.